

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/622,706	08/21/2000	Norman John Alfred Hurst	827.1.016	8911	
75	90 05/20/2003				
Watov & Kipnes			EXAMINER		
PO Box 247	PO Box 247			CATTIATION LAND	
Princeton Juncti	ion, NJ 08550		SALVATORE, LYNDA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		
		DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/622,706	HURST ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lynda M Salvatore	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	March_2003 .					
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditi			ts is			
·	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
· _	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<u></u>					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep						
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
40)□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
* (3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).			
) \square The translation of the foreign language pro- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachmen							
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/622,706 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments, Paper No's, 6 and 7 have been entered. Claims 1,2, and 14 have been amended as requested. Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks are found sufficient to overcome the 112 2nd paragraph indefinite rejections set forth in sections 1-6 of the last Office Action. Despite this advance, the amendments are not found to patently distinguish the claims over the prior art, Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability and a new grounds rejection is set forth herein below.

2. The rejection of claims 1-3 and 6-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Banks, US 5,991,922 is withdrawn. Specifically, the Banks reference fails to teach relative yarn diameter sizes set forth in claim 1.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 objected to because of the following informalities: The Examiner suggests amending claim 1 to recite "are *in* electrically conducting engagement" rather than "urged into electrically conducting engagement". Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,706

Art Unit: 1771

5. Claims 1-3 and 6-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being obvious over Banks, US 5,991,922.

The Applicant argues that the Banks reference fails to teach a an antistatic workwear comprising a electrically conducting strip or tape with portions exposed alternately on a first and second side, wherein the varns of the conducting strip or tape have larger diameter yarn sizes relative to the diameters of the conducting yarns present in the antistatic workwear garment components (i.e., sleeve, torso, leg etc.). Said conducting strip or tape provides an electrical conduction between the conducting strip or tape and the conducting yarns in the garment components. These arguments are not found persuasive on the grounds that Banks does teach a static electricity dissipation garment comprising a pantsuit having a torso region, arm sleeves, cuffs, and leg portions (Figure 1). The pantsuit is woven from electrically conductive polyester fibers (Column 3, lines 66-Column 4, lines 1-2). The strands are spaced apart and oriented in a crisscross pattern (Column 4, lines 3-5). An electrically conductive ribbon edges the garment and works in conjunction with the electricity dissipation circuit (Column 4, lines 4-8). The electrically conductive ribbon is made from the same material as the suit elements having the fibers arranged in a closely spaced apart manner to promote conductivity (Column 4, lines 8-11). The electrically conductive ribbon is attached to the garment sections by means of stitching (Column 4, lines 50-54). One length of ribbon extends from the cuff of a sleeve section down to the underarm section and then upward within the seam across the collar and down then part way down the opposite arm seam. A length of ribbon also extends from down the torso section and all the way along a leg section seam (Column 4, lines 12-39 and Figure 1). With regard to the alternately exposed portions on the first and second side of the conducting strip or tape

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/622,706

Art Unit: 1771

limitation, it is the position of the Examiner that the electrically conductive ribbon of Banks, would inherently have alternately exposed portions on the first and second side of the ribbon. Support for said argument is found in the teachings of Banks, which explicitly state that the electrically conductive ribbon is made from the same material as the pantsuit which comprises polyester within which are woven strands of electrically conductive fibers. It is a fact in the textile art that mono-layer woven fabrics have alternately exposed portions of the yarns of the weave on first and second sides, due to the passing over and under the warps and wefts of the weave. Thus, a ribbon having polyester within which are woven strands of electrically conductive fibers would meet this limitation. With regard to the Applicant's argument that the electrically conductive ribbon of Banks fails to provide an electrical conduction between the conducting ribbon and the conducting yarns in the antistatic garment components, it is the position of the Examiner that Banks clearly teaches seaming the antistatic garment with the electrically conductive ribbon. Such an arrangement would bridge adjacent garment components and provide the necessary electrical conduction between the conducting ribbon and the conducting yarns in the antistatic garment components. With regards to the relative yarn diameters, no criticality has been associated with this size feature. As such, and in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to vary the yarn size of the ribbon of Banks motivated by aesthetic or strength properties. See In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237; and In re Reese, 129 USPQ 402

6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,706

Art Unit: 1771

Claims 4 and 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banks, 7. US 5,991,922 as applied to claim 1 above.

Applicant argues that there is no motivation for modifying the Banks reference to accommodate the relative diameter limitations set forth in claim 1. This is not found persuasive since the Applicant has not set forth the importance of said critical limitation. Thus, without such disclosure, the Examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the diameter of the yarns used in the garment and electrically conductive ribbon in order to enhance the strength, aesthetics, and electrical conductive dissipation properties as set forth in section 9 of the last Office Action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Lynda M Salvatore whose telephone number is 703-305-4070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

May 19, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Em Albers

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700